

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_112573
Title of the Manuscript:	ENHANCEMENT OF SHELF LIFE OF BEEF USING RICINUS COMMUNIS LEAVES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. The potential enhancement of the shelf life of beef using Ricinus communis leaves is an interesting and relevant topic for the scientific community. If research provides evidence supporting the effectiveness of Ricinus communis leaves in preserving beef, it can contribute valuable knowledge to the fields of food science, food preservation, and agriculture. Here are some reasons why your research may be considered important for the scientific community: 2. Ricinus communis Leaves: A Natural Shield for Extending Beef Freshness 3. YES 4. YES 5. Yes 6. Yes, add latest references	 1. Thanks for this comment. 2. This title though good, I feel, does not fully capture the scientific essence of the article; so, I prefer the initial title sent.(Enhancement of shelf life of beef using <i>Ricinus communis</i>) 3. Thanks 4. Thanks 5. Thanks 6. I've added some latest references
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 Yes	 Thanks
Optional/General comments	There is no biostatistical explanation, add biostatistical significance	Statistical explanation as well as the P- value used to determine significance is already stated in the manuscript.

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	